

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/596,083	Applicant(s) MABIRE ET AL.	
	Examiner EMILY BERNHARDT	Art Unit 1624	

All Participants:

(1) EMILY BERNHARDT.

(2) Mr. Tracy.

Date of Interview: 4 September 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
13 and claims directed to breast cancer

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Route c of claim 13 is now outside the scope of claim 1 since R2/R3 can no longer be oxo. Mr. Tracy pointed out that the phrase appearing after "wherein" in route c was inserted in error and that the Rh definition should have been included instead consistent with the teaching on p.17 of the specification. The examiner further pointed out that claims to treating breast cancer were not considered enabled given the state of the art at the time of applicants' filing which was discussed in the previous action with references provided. Claims directed to enhancing the effectiveness of chemotherapy or radiotherapy have been well documented in the art as being performed with PARP-1 inhibitors and thus such claims were indicated as enabled. Mr. Tracy agreed to the cancellation of method claims directed to breast cancer and the correction for route c in claim 13 and such will be done via an Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Emily Bernhardt/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

